



PARKS ADVISORY COMMITTEE AGENDA

Monday, June 13, 2022



6:00pm

Public Meeting Session – Hybrid (Remote - GoToMeeting & In-Person)
Customer Service Center – 3050 N Delta Hwy., Eugene
Goodpasture Room

PAC Meeting

- I. Introductions – (5 min.)**
- II. Public Comment – (up to 10 min.)**
- III. Assignment Review – (5 min.)**
- IV. Review of Meeting Summary – All (2 min.)**
- V. New Business (90 min.)**
 - 1) District 5 PAC Candidate - Richard Vasquez
 - 2) Public Polling Findings for Proposed Levy - FM3
 - 3) Capital Project Prioritization List
 - 4) Community Engagement Meetings
 - 5) Funding Measure Recommendation
- VI. Old Business (15 min.)**
 - 1) PAC Field Trip
- VII. Staff Updates/Reports – (15 min.)**
 - 1) Harbor Vista Cabins Ribbon Cutting Ceremony - July 13th
 - 2) Climate Advisory Committee Report - Mike Allen
- VIII. Open – All (5 min.)**
- IX. Operations Reports - (5 min.)**
- X. Meeting Wrap-up/Assignments – (5 min.)**
- XI. Adjourn**

2022 Meeting Dates:

JANUARY 10	MAY 9	SEPTEMBER 12
FEBRUARY 14	JUNE 13	OCTOBER 10
MARCH 14	JULY NO MEETING	NOVEMBER 14
APRIL 11	AUGUST NO MEETING	DECEMBER 12

Lane County Parks Advisory

May 9, 2022
Meeting Summary

**This written indexed summary of minutes is provided as a courtesy to the reader.
The recorded minutes created pursuant to ORS 192.650(1) are the official minutes of this body under Oregon law.**

The recorded minutes are available on the Parks Advisory Committee website:

http://lcpubw05.lanecounty.org/Information/PW_Parks/PAC_05092022.mp4

Members Present: Jim Mayo, Kevin Shanley, Greg Hyde, Carl Stiefbold, Mike Allen, Timothy Foelker

Members Absent: None

Staff Present: Brett Henry, Bjorn Carlson, Ed Alverson, Cynthia Schlegel, Dan Hurley

Guests Present: None

Chair Mayo called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

00:00:25 Introductions

00:01:40 Public Comment

- None

00:01:52 Announcements

- None

00:01:52 Assignment Review

- Share facility condition assessment reports with PAC.
- Contact McKenzie Economic Development Corporation
- Stewart Covered Bridge Update – The Parks Division (Parks) explored the possibility of adding a fence and gate to close in the evening. The proposed fence area is in the road right-of-way; therefore, other solutions should be considered. Henry will reach out to concerned citizen to discuss.
- Discuss a July Field trip to Florence.

00:07:33 Review of Meeting Summary for April 11, 2022

- Kevin Shanley motioned, Tim Foelker seconded, motion passed unanimously.

00:08:13 New Business

- None

00:08:22 Old Business

- Armitage Campground Expansion – Held an open house on April 12th for public input of the preliminary design concept. An arborist was hired to assist the engineers with the design concept by inventorying existing trees. Trees were categorized as those to preserve and those that may pose a hazard within the expansion area. Parks is also looking at the impact to the day-use area so vegetative screening of native vegetation was added to the design for noise reduction, separation and privacy. The new campsites include two American Disability Act (ADA) sites close to the restrooms. The septic design is currently underway with the idea of connecting with the existing system. Parks was awarded American Recovery Plan Act (ARPA) funding and will use a portion of the ARPA funds for the campground infrastructure. The 90% design phase is scheduled for completion in December and Parks will be ready to apply for environmental permitting. In 2023, Parks will apply for a County Opportunity Grant through the Oregon Recreation & Parks Department (ORPD). An arborist will be retained before, during, and after construction to monitor impact to trees along the way. As part of the expansion, Parks is looking at adding a rain garden and more day-use features in the adjacent field to the east. An all-inclusive playground and nature play area are under consideration.
- HBRA Coast Fork Access – Currently the only non-motorized water access at Howard Buford Park (HBRA) is under the Seavey Bridge, which poses parking safety and compliance issues. Parks received a Marine Board grant for the engineering design and environmental permitting of the project. Cameron McCarthy, a landscape architect firm, was hired for the design & permitting. A conceptual design of the area along the flat part of the Coast Fork Willamette inside the Arboretum leased area was presented to the PAC. Access will include two paths down to the water with one path more direct and steep and another path that is longer and less steep for visitors with mobility challenges. A portable restroom and changing station will be located close by. An on-site public meeting is scheduled on May 10. The design will be modified based on feedback received at the meeting.
- District 5 PAC Vacancy – The vacancy is still posted on the County website and Parks is still recruiting for the position. The PAC was urged to assist with finding applicants for the position and seek out individuals who will provide more diverse perspectives.
- Harbor Vista Cabins & PAC Field Trip – Cabins are completed and furnished. The target date for opening reservations is June 1. A field trip to Florence will be scheduled for July. A press release and ribbon cutting ceremony will be scheduled.

01:00:59 Staff Updates/Reports

- American Recovery Plan Act – Parks received approximately \$4.3M in funding for park infrastructure improvements. The Engineering & Construction Services Division will provide assistance with oversight with bid openings. Parks will continue assessing water storage issues at Richardson and Orchard Point by installing four tanks that double the capacity and investigating the water table along Fern Ridge Reservoir.

- Parks Funding Plan – Henry and hired consultant Bob Keefer (SDAO) will continue working on the Parks Funding Plan. Henry will go before the Board of County Commissioners on June 21 to present the staff recommendation and request feedback. FM3 will conduct a second public polling survey later in May. Results will be presented to the PAC at the June meeting. The RFQ for a public information consulting firm closes on May 13. Four regional public engagement meetings are scheduled for May to discuss the proposed levy and gather feedback on what is important to consider improving at their parks.

01:27:05 Open

- PAC members engaged in open discussion.

01:37:29 Operations Report

- Included in meeting materials.

01:40:25 Meeting Wrap-up/Assignments

- Reach out to the McKenzie Economic Development Corporation
- District 5 PAC member recruitment
- Send out doodle poll for PAC field trip
- Harbor Vista ribbon cutting information
- Add Climate Advisory Committee Report to future agendas

Mayo adjourned the meeting at 7:42 p.m.



Lane County CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPLICATION

Page 1 of 4

APPLICANT'S NAME AND CITY: Richard A. Vasquez Creswell , OR 97426		DATE: 05-18-2022
NAME OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE: PARKS ADVISORY COMMITTEE		PLEASE CHECK ONE: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Reappointment

1. Give a brief description of the experience or training that qualifies you for membership on this advisory committee (If you wish, you may attach a resume or other pertinent material).

I'm experienced with policymaking and can support clear decisions with functions of the committee. Ensure effectiveness through professionalism and management. Knowledge of annual budgeting, contracts, disposition of property and know the process for board governance, Roberts Rules of Order.

2. Why do you want to become a member of this committee, and what specific contributions do you hope to make?

This is a great opportunity to be involved and create more positive support to the parks committee. Act in an advisory capacity to the City Council in policy matters pertaining to the acquisition and development of parks and the formulation of a recreation program to meet the needs of the citizens.

3. List the community concerns related to this committee that you would like to see addressed if you are appointed.

Actively promote recreation and park activities within the City. Review and make recommendations to the City Council on the City Manager's annual proposed budget for recreation and park.

4. Briefly describe your present or past involvement in relevant community groups. (Having no previous involvement will not disqualify you for appointment.)

I have experience on committees such as: Community Action Board, Lodi Art's Committee, Lions International, Kiwanis International, Measure W and served one term as Trustee for community College Stockton Ca.

5. Lane County is committed to reflecting diverse cultures on its boards/committees and does not discriminate against any person on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, sex, religion, language, ethnicity, socio-economic status, sexual orientation, gender identity or expressions, veteran's status, or political beliefs in employment or in admission, treatment, or participation in its programs, services, and activities. If selected, how would you contribute to this effort?

Integrity, diversity, and effectiveness are key elements for good relations between committee members. I do not discriminate and or believe that every qualified individual can make a difference on a committee.



**Lane County
CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE
APPLICATION**

Page 2 of 4

6. Are you currently serving on any Advisory Boards or Committees? If so, which ones?

No

7. Are you employed by, have any business, contractual arrangements or family connections with programs having contractual agreements with the County or that might be within the purview of the committee on which you are seeking appointment? (If there is a change in your circumstances, please advise the staff for the committee within 30 days.)

☒ No ☐ Yes Specify:

8. How did you learn about this vacancy? ☐ Newspaper ☐ Word of mouth ☒ Other:

9. In which County Commissioner District do you reside? Please check one:

☐ Unsure

☐ West Lane County

☐ Springfield

☐ South Eugene

☐ North Eugene

☒ East Lane County

The Board of Commissioners has adopted the following policy on reappointments*:

a. Members of County advisory groups will serve a maximum of two consecutive terms when term lengths are three or more years in length.

b. The deadline for incumbent applications will be the same as the deadline for new applications.

**** Unless waived by the Board.***

PUBLIC POLLING FINDINGS

MARGIN OF SAMPLING ERROR $\pm 4.9\%$ (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)

		VERY FAV	SMWT FAV	SMWT UNFAV	VERY UNFAV	NEVER HEARD OF	(CAN'T RATE /DK)	TOTAL FAV	TOTAL UNFAV
[] a.	Lane County government								
	2022-----	8 %	42 %	22 %	19 %	1 %	8 %	50 %	42 %
	2021-----	12 %	42 %	20 %	18 %	0 %	7 %	55 %	38 %
[] b.	Lane County Parks								
	2022-----	32 %	43 %	11 %	8 %	0 %	7 %	75 %	18 %
	2021-----	36 %	40 %	10 %	4 %	1 %	9 %	76 %	14 %
[] c.	Your local City government								
	2022-----	9 %	37 %	22 %	21 %	1 %	9 %	47 %	43 %
	2021-----	12 %	35 %	16 %	26 %	0 %	11 %	47 %	42 %

MY NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT A MEASURE THAT COULD BE ON A FUTURE LOCAL BALLOT.

3. The measure may read as follows:

Maintains, improves parks, water quality, access; establishes local option levy. Shall Lane County maintain/ improve parks, water quality, access; protect natural areas; establish five-year operating levy, 16 cents per one thousand dollars assessed value, beginning 2023?

Would you vote yes or no? **(IF YES/NO, ASK):** “Is that definitely **YES/NO** or just probably **YES/NO?**” **(IF UNDECIDED, ASK:)** Well, do you lean toward voting yes or no?

TOTAL YES-----61%

Definitely yes-----32%

Probably yes-----25%

Undecided, lean yes-----4%

TOTAL NO-----35%

Undecided, lean no-----2%

Probably no-----8%

Definitely no-----25%

(DON'T READ) DK/NA-----5%

(ASK ONLY IF CODES 1-6 IN Q3)

4. In a few words of your own, why would you vote **YES/NO?** **(OPEN-ENDED, RECORD VERBATIM RESPONSE)**

- a. Yes: (n=329)

Our parks need more maintenance-----25%

Parks and open spaces are essential to quality of life-----20%

Improve water quality/protect the environment-----19%

Support funding for parks/it is affordable -----16%

We need to think about the future/preserve outdoor areas-----13%

General support -----12%

Great for families/children -----5%

Outdoor recreation opportunities-----5%

Mixed feelings-----4%

Need more information -----3%

Improve park safety -----3%

Health benefits -----1%

Other-----1%

Don't know -----1%

Refused-----1%

b. No: (n=189)

Too many taxes -----	52 %
Government waste and mismanagement/do not trust the government-----	42 %
More important issues-----	7 %
Not needed-----	5 %
Our parks are too far gone/taken over by homeless -----	5 %
Need more information-----	2 %
Mixed feelings-----	1 %
Measure is unclear/poorly worded-----	1 %
General oppose-----	0 %
Other-----	3 %
Refused-----	1 %

(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)

5. Would your household be willing to pay ____ in additional taxes to maintain and improve County parks? **(IF WILLING/UNWILLING, ASK:)** “Would that be very **WILLING/UNWILLING**, or just somewhat?” **(DO NOT RANDOMIZE; ONCE RESPONDENT HAS ANSWERED “VERY WILLING,” STOP AND CODE THE REMAINDER AS 1)**

		<u>VERY</u>	<u>SMWT</u>	<u>SMWT</u>	<u>VERY</u>	(DON'T	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
		<u>WILL</u>	<u>WILL</u>	<u>UNWL</u>	<u>UNWL</u>	<u>READ)</u>	<u>WILL</u>	<u>UNWL</u>
						<u>DK/NA</u>		
a.	60 dollars per year							
	2022-----	32 %	27 %	8 %	29 %	5 %	59 %	37 %
	2021-----	37 %	19 %	11 %	30 %	2 %	56 %	42 %
b.	50 dollars per year							
	2022-----	37 %	23 %	9 %	26 %	5 %	59 %	36 %
	2021-----	42 %	16 %	12 %	28 %	2 %	58 %	40 %
c.	40 dollars per year							
	2022-----	44 %	19 %	7 %	26 %	5 %	63 %	33 %
	2021-----	47 %	15 %	9 %	27 %	2 %	62 %	36 %
d.	30 dollars per year							
	2022-----	51 %	14 %	5 %	25 %	4 %	65 %	30 %
	2021-----	52 %	12 %	8 %	25 %	4 %	64 %	33 %

6. Next, the County will present to voters in a future election a levy renewal measure to fund the Lane County jail and youth services. After having voted on this parks measure, would you be more likely to support this jail and youth services measure, less likely to support the measure, or would it make no difference? **(IF MORE/LESS LIKELY, ASK: Is that much MORE/LESS likely, or only somewhat?)**

TOTAL MORE LIKELY-----26%

Much more likely-----11%

Somewhat more likely-----15%

TOTAL LESS LIKELY-----17%

Somewhat less likely-----6%

Much less likely-----10%

Makes no difference-----48%

(DON'T READ) DK/NA-----9%

7. Now I'm going to read you a list of things some people say may be problems facing Lane County. For each, please tell me whether you think it is an extremely serious problem, a very serious problem, a somewhat serious problem, or not a serious problem for Lane County residents. **(RANDOMIZE)**

		<u>EXT</u> <u>SER</u>	<u>VERY</u> <u>SER</u>	<u>SMWT</u> <u>SER</u>	<u>NOT A</u> <u>SER</u> <u>PROB</u>	<u>(DON'T</u> <u>READ)</u> <u>DK/NA</u>	<u>EXT/</u> <u>VERY</u> <u>SER</u>
(SPLIT SAMPLE A ONLY)							
[]a.	Gas prices-----	40 %	27 %	24 %	8 %	2 %	66 %
[]b.	Homelessness						
	2022-----	62 %	34 %	3 %	0 %	0 %	96 %
	2021-----	55 %	38 %	5 %	1 %	2 %	93 %
[]c.	A lack of recreational activities for adults and youth						
	2022-----	5 %	12 %	27 %	53 %	3 %	17 %
	2021-----	6 %	9 %	32 %	46 %	7 %	16 %
[]d.	Pollution of rivers, creeks, and streams						
	2022-----	19 %	34 %	29 %	16 %	2 %	53 %
	2021-----	24 %	21 %	37 %	15 %	4 %	44 %
[]e.	Loss of wildlife habitat						
	2022-----	18 %	31 %	30 %	17 %	4 %	49 %
	2021-----	18 %	23 %	34 %	20 %	4 %	41 %
[]f.	The amount you pay in local taxes						
	2022-----	19 %	17 %	33 %	27 %	4 %	36 %
	2021-----	24 %	21 %	26 %	26 %	4 %	45 %
(SPLIT SAMPLE B ONLY)							
[]g.	Inflation-----	43 %	32 %	21 %	4 %	1 %	75 %
[]h.	Public safety-----	33 %	31 %	26 %	10 %	0 %	64 %
[]i.	The cost of housing						
	2022-----	55 %	30 %	13 %	3 %	0 %	84 %
	2021-----	35 %	39 %	19 %	4 %	3 %	74 %

	<u>EXT SER</u>	<u>VERY SER</u>	<u>SMWT SER</u>	<u>NOT A SER PROB</u>	<u>(DON'T READ) DK/NA</u>	<u>EXT/ VERY SER</u>
(SPLIT SAMPLE B CONTINUED)						
[]j. Loss of open space and natural areas						
2022-----	19 %	24 %	25 %	28 %	3 %	43 %
2021-----	11 %	19 %	36 %	26 %	8 %	30 %
[]k. Run-down and deteriorating local parks						
2022-----	9 %	22 %	40 %	25 %	3 %	32 %
2021-----	12 %	20 %	39 %	22 %	7 %	31 %

(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)

NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT LOCAL PARKS AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES.

8. Generally speaking, do you approve or disapprove of the overall performance of the Lane County Parks Division? **(IF APPROVE/DISAPPROVE, ASK: Is that strongly, or just somewhat?)**

	<u>2021</u>	<u>2022</u>
TOTAL APPROVE-----	76%	71%
Strongly approve-----	24 %	21 %
Somewhat approve-----	52 %	50 %
TOTAL DISAPPROVE-----	11%	17%
Somewhat disapprove -----	8 %	11 %
Strongly disapprove -----	3 %	6 %
(DON'T READ) DK/NA -----	12 %	12 %

9. Generally speaking, would you say that the Lane County Parks Division has a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funding for parks and recreation facilities and programs?

	<u>2021</u>	<u>2022</u>
GREAT/SOME NEED -----	70%	62%
Great need-----	27 %	22 %
Some need-----	43 %	41 %
A LITTLE/NO REAL NEED-----	20%	28%
A little need-----	10 %	12 %
No real need-----	9 %	16 %
(DON'T READ) DK/NA -----	10 %	10 %

10. Next, I'm going to read you some projects and services that could be funded by this ballot measure. For each item I read, please tell me how important the project or service is to you personally: is it extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not important? **(RANDOMIZE)**

		<u>EXT IMP</u>	<u>VERY IMP</u>	<u>SMWT IMP</u>	<u>NOT IMP</u>	<u>(DON'T READ) DK/NA</u>	<u>EXT/ VERY IMP</u>
(SPLIT SAMPLE A ONLY)							
[]a.	Repairing deteriorating park restrooms -----	24 %	34 %	32 %	8 %	1 %	58%
[]b.	Improving park safety and security						
	2022-----	27 %	31 %	34 %	7 %	1 %	58%
	2021-----	30 %	31 %	26 %	10 %	3 %	61%
[]c.	Improving cleanliness in local parks						
	2022-----	22 %	34 %	36 %	7 %	1 %	56%
	2021-----	30 %	31 %	26 %	10 %	3 %	61%
[]d.	Maintaining parks						
	2022-----	20 %	41 %	34 %	4 %	1 %	61%
	2021-----	31 %	43 %	20 %	3 %	3 %	74%
[]e.	Protect and restore natural areas -----	23 %	34 %	30 %	12 %	1 %	56%
[]f.	Protecting wildlife habitat						
	2022-----	29 %	38 %	24 %	8 %	1 %	67%
	2021-----	39 %	30 %	22 %	8 %	1 %	69%
[]g.	Maintaining hiking and biking trails						
	2022-----	16 %	33 %	39 %	11 %	1 %	49%
	2021-----	23 %	34 %	34 %	8 %	2 %	57%
[]h.	Increasing staff patrols to prevent vandalism and car break-ins						
	2022-----	27 %	25 %	37 %	10 %	1 %	52%
	2021-----	26 %	34 %	27 %	10 %	3 %	60%
[]i.	Providing park facilities and trails that are accessible to seniors and people with disabilities						
	2022-----	19 %	34 %	37 %	10 %	1 %	53%
	2021-----	30 %	35 %	25 %	8 %	2 %	65%
[]j.	Maintaining the Howard Buford Recreation Area, including the Mount Pisgah Arboretum						
	2022-----	13 %	33 %	33 %	12 %	9 %	46%
	2021-----	20 %	35 %	25 %	10 %	10 %	55%
[]k.	Supporting development of sports facilities-----	4 %	17 %	42 %	35 %	2 %	21%
(SPLIT SAMPLE B ONLY)							
[]l.	Ensuring Lane County gets its fair share of local, state and federal matching funds						
	2022-----	39 %	39 %	16 %	4 %	2 %	78%
	2021-----	35 %	39 %	21 %	3 %	1 %	74%
[]m.	Improving safe bike and walking access to parks						
	2022-----	26 %	36 %	23 %	14 %	1 %	62%
	2021-----	22 %	35 %	25 %	16 %	2 %	57%
[]n.	Protecting forests that improve water quality						
	2022-----	44 %	32 %	18 %	6 %	1 %	75%
	2021-----	42 %	34 %	17 %	6 %	1 %	76%

		<u>EXT</u> <u>IMP</u>	<u>VERY</u> <u>IMP</u>	<u>SMWT</u> <u>IMP</u>	<u>NOT</u> <u>IMP</u>	<u>(DON'T</u> <u>READ)</u> <u>DK/NA</u>	<u>EXT/</u> <u>VERY</u> <u>IMP</u>
(SPLIT SAMPLE B CONTINUED)							
[]o.	Maintaining campgrounds						
	2022-----	22 %----	35 %----	35 %----	7 %----	0 %	57%
	2021-----	25 %----	39 %----	28 %----	6 %----	2 %	64%
[]p.	Partnering with schools to provide nature education						
	2022-----	26 %----	37 %----	27 %----	11 %----	0 %	62%
	2021-----	28 %----	32 %----	25 %----	14 %----	1 %	60%
[]q.	Maintaining and improving park infrastructure like parking lots, bathrooms, and drinking fountains						
	2022-----	28 %----	39 %----	26 %----	7 %----	0 %	66%
	2021-----	22 %----	39 %----	32 %----	6 %----	0 %	62%
[]r.	Preserving scenic views						
	2022-----	20 %----	33 %----	38 %----	9 %----	0 %	53%
	2021-----	19 %----	38 %----	28 %----	13 %----	1 %	58%
[]s.	Restoring parks damaged by wildfires						
	2022-----	30 %----	37 %----	28 %----	5 %----	0 %	66%
	2021-----	28 %----	42 %----	25 %----	3 %----	1 %	71%
[]t.	Providing access to lakes and rivers for swimming, boating, and fishing-----	26 %----	35 %----	31 %----	7 %----	0 %	61%
[]u.	Providing outdoor education programming for youth-----	22 %----	35 %----	33 %----	10 %----	0 %	57%
[]v.	Protecting water quality in rivers, lakes, and streams						
	2022-----	51 %----	35 %----	11 %----	3 %----	0 %	86%
	2021-----	53 %----	29 %----	15 %----	3 %----	0 %	82%
[]w.	Supporting development of a regional pickleball facility-----	2 %----	4 %----	20 %----	66 %----	8 %	7%

(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)

NEXT I WILL READ YOU STATEMENTS FROM SUPPORTERS AND OPPONENTS OF THE POTENTIAL BALLOT MEASURE TO MAINTAIN AND IMPROVE PARKS, NATURAL AREAS AND WATER QUALITY AND ACCESS IN LANE COUNTY BY ESTABLISHING A LOCAL LEVY.

11. First, here are some statements from people who support the measure. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to vote “yes” on the measure. If you do not believe the statement, please tell me that too.
(RANDOMIZE)

	<u>VERY CONV</u>	<u>SMWT CONV</u>	<u>NOT CONV</u>	<u>DON'T BEL</u>	<u>(DON'T READ) DK/NA</u>	<u>VERY/ SMWT CONV</u>
[]a. (COST OF LIVING) Our parks, trails, campgrounds, marinas, and beaches have something for everyone. They provide affordable close-to-home places for outdoor recreation and access to Lane County's abundant waterways. As the cost of living increases, it is more important than ever to invest in keeping low-cost, close-to-home recreation available. -----	40 %	41 %	15 %	4 %	1 %	80 %
[]b. (T - HEALTH) This measure will help keep our community healthy. Lane County kids, families, and seniors who visit parks for play and exercise have better physical, psychological, and mental health outcomes – all of these more important than ever. -----	34 %	37 %	20 %	7 %	2 %	71 %
[]c. (DOLLARS) This measure would cost the average homeowner less than three dollars per month. That's a small price to pay to protect natural areas, wildlife habitat, and water quality and to provide recreational access to all. -----	34 %	35 %	20 %	10 %	2 %	69 %
[]d. (HOT SUMMERS) Summers are getting hotter. This measure will help take action to plant more trees, protect rivers and streams for fish, and restore critical wildlife habitat. Lane County Parks will also improve access to our waterways so that everyone has a place to go to cool off with their family. -----	32 %	38 %	21 %	9 %	1 %	70 %

	<u>VERY CONV</u>	<u>SMWT CONV</u>	<u>NOT CONV</u>	<u>DON'T BEL</u>	<u>(DON'T READ) DK/NA</u>	<u>VERY/ SMWT CONV</u>
(SPLIT SAMPLE A ONLY)						
[]e. (T - LONG RUN) The longer we wait to restore our natural areas, and park and recreation infrastructure, the more it will cost us in the long run. By making the investment to take care of our parks and recreation system today, we can avoid more costly problems in future years.-----	39 %	35 %	17 %	8 %	1 %	74 %
[]f. (REPAIRS) For the last 40 years, Lane County Parks has received minimal County funding, leading to a backlog of critical repair and maintenance projects. This measure will provide dedicated, local funding to make basic repairs that will keep our parks, natural areas beaches, campgrounds, and boating facilities clean and safe.-----	37 %	35 %	15 %	11 %	1 %	73 %
[]g. (SPORTS) This measure would fund the creation of sports and recreational facilities for people from all walks of life, including pickleball courts, soccer and baseball fields, and boat ramps for kayakers and boaters. -----	18 %	42 %	30 %	8 %	2 %	60 %
(SPLIT SAMPLE B ONLY)						
[]h. (T – FUTURE GENERATIONS) This measure will preserve Lane County's natural beauty by protecting rivers, streams, trees, natural areas, and wildlife habitat. It will ensure that our children and grandchildren enjoy the same quality of life we do. -----	37 %	33 %	20 %	9 %	2 %	70 %
[]i. (T – ECONOMY) Recreation in Lane County produces a total net economic value of more than 5-point-3 billion dollars, more than 12 thousand jobs and over 650 million dollars in gross domestic product impacts. Investing in our parks will help our economy recover and grow. -----	28 %	37 %	18 %	15 %	2 %	65 %

	<u>VERY CONV</u>	<u>SMWT CONV</u>	<u>NOT CONV</u>	<u>DON'T BEL</u>	<u>(DON'T READ) DK/NA</u>	<u>VERY/ SMWT CONV</u>
(SPLIT SAMPLE B CON'T)						
[]j. (EDUCATIONAL) Lane County Parks will use measure funding to provide environmental education opportunities, primarily for local youth. These programs and facilities will help youth learn about and connect with nature and develop a sincere appreciation for the great outdoors. -----	28 %	39 %	21 %	10 %	2 %	68 %

(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)

12. Now that you have heard more about it, let me ask you again about the measure which would establish a local option levy of 16 cents per one thousand dollars assessed value to maintain and improve parks, natural areas and water quality and access in Lane County. Would you vote yes or no? **(IF YES/NO, ASK):** "Is that definitely **YES/NO** or just probably **YES/NO**?" **(IF UNDECIDED, ASK:)** Well, do you lean toward voting yes or no?

TOTAL YES-----66%

Definitely yes -----40 %

Probably yes-----20 %

Undecided, lean yes-----6 %

TOTAL NO-----31%

Undecided, lean no -----2 %

Probably no-----6 %

Definitely no-----23 %

(DON'T READ) DK/NA -----3 %

13. Next, here are some statements from people who oppose the measure we have been discussing. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing as a reason to vote “no” on the measure. If you do not believe the statement, please tell me that too. **(RANDOMIZE)**

	<u>VERY CONV</u>	<u>SMWT CONV</u>	<u>NOT CONV</u>	<u>DON'T BEL</u>	<u>(DON'T READ) DK/NA</u>	<u>VERY/ SMWT CONV</u>
[]a. (COST OF LIVING) The cost of living in Lane County is already too high – gas prices, housing costs and even groceries are rising quickly with inflation. Now is not the time to increase the cost of getting by even more. -----	35 %	30 %	28 %	6 %	1 %	65 %
[]b. (T - WASTE) The County has enough taxpayer dollars to repair and upgrade parks if they would just cut waste and mismanagement. Rather than raising our taxes, officials should tighten their belts and find money for parks in the existing budget.-----	34 %	20 %	25 %	19 %	2 %	54 %
[]c. (NOT NOW/SAFETY) Now is not the time to dedicate more taxes to pay for park improvements – not when we have so many more urgent needs that require local tax funding, like addressing homeless and improving public safety, our jail, and rural patrols. -----	26 %	34 %	31 %	8 %	1 %	60 %

14. Sometimes over the course of a survey like this people change their minds, and sometimes they do not. Let me ask you one last time about the measure which would establish a local option levy of 16 cents per one thousand dollars assessed value to maintain and improve parks, natural areas and water quality and access in Lane County. Would you vote yes or no? **(IF YES/NO, ASK):** “Is that definitely **YES/NO** or just probably **YES/NO**?” **(IF UNDECIDED, ASK:)** Well, do you lean toward voting yes or no?

TOTAL YES-----61%

Definitely yes -----38 %

Probably yes-----17 %

Undecided, lean yes-----6 %

TOTAL NO-----34%

Undecided, lean no -----1 %

Probably no-----7 %

Definitely no-----26 %

(DON'T READ) DK/NA -----5 %

THE NEXT QUESTIONS ARE FOR STATISTICAL PURPOSES ONLY.

15. (T) In the past 12 months, how many times have you or someone in your household visited a Lane County park or recreational facility? **(READ LIST)**

Two or more times a week-----17 %
 Once a week-----9 %
 Two to three times per month -----21 %
 A few times a year-----30 %
 Rarely-----12 %
 Never -----9 %
(DON'T READ) DK/NA -----0 %

(ASK IF NOT CODE 6 IN Q15)

16. Next, I am going to read you a list of different ways people use Lane County Parks. After you hear each one, please tell me how often you have visited a Lane County Park in that way in the last year: at least once a week, at least once a month, several times, about once, or never. Here is the first one: **(RANDOMIZE)**

	<u>ONCE/ WEEK</u>	<u>ONCE/ MONTH</u>	<u>SVRL/ YEAR</u>	<u>ONCE/ YEAR</u>	<u>NEVER</u>	<u>(DK/NA)</u>
[]a. Walking, hiking, or running						
2022-----	24 %	20 %	32 %	8 %	15 %	1 %
2021-----	29 %	18 %	31 %	6 %	12 %	4 %
[]b. Birding or viewing wildlife						
2022-----	10 %	12 %	24 %	12 %	40 %	2 %
2021-----	15 %	11 %	16 %	12 %	43 %	3 %
[]c. Tent camping						
2022-----	1 %	3 %	11 %	18 %	66 %	1 %
2021-----	1 %	2 %	16 %	15 %	63 %	3 %
[]d. RV camping						
2022-----	1 %	3 %	11 %	7 %	76 %	1 %
2021-----	1 %	3 %	11 %	8 %	73 %	3 %
[]e. Picnicking						
2022-----	3 %	9 %	30 %	21 %	36 %	2 %
2021-----	3 %	10 %	30 %	19 %	35 %	3 %
[]f. Spending time in or near the water-----	13 %	18 %	45 %	13 %	10 %	1 %
[]g. Walking dogs off-leash						
2022-----	11 %	6 %	12 %	3 %	68 %	1 %
2021-----	11 %	5 %	8 %	3 %	71 %	3 %
[]h. Enjoying nature						
2022-----	25 %	19 %	36 %	11 %	8 %	1 %
2021-----	31 %	20 %	30 %	7 %	9 %	3 %

17. What was the last level of school you completed?

High school or less -----10 %
 Less than 4 years of college-----36 %
 College graduate -----34 %
 Post graduate work/
 Professional school-----16 %
 (DON'T READ) DK/NA ----- 4 %

18. Do you own or rent your home?

Own-----76 %
 Rent-----20 %
 (DON'T READ) DK/NA ----- 4 %

THANK AND TERMINATE

GENDER (BY OBSERVATION):

Male-----48 %
 Female-----52 %
 Non-binary----- 0 %

PARTY REGISTRATION:

Democrat-----53 %
 Republican -----28 %
 NAV/Other -----19 %

FLAGS

P16-----66 %
 G16-----86 %
 P18-----60 %
 G18-----91 %
 P20-----72 %
 G20-----99 %
 BLANK----- 0 %

CITY

Eugene-----45 %
 Springfield -----13 %
 Other-----42 %

A/B SPLIT

A-----50 %
 B-----50 %

AGE

25-29----- 2 %
 30-34----- 6 %
 35-39-----10 %
 40-44----- 7 %
 45-49----- 7 %
 50-54----- 8 %
 55-59----- 7 %
 60-64----- 9 %
 65-74-----31 %
 75 + -----12 %

MODE

Online -----50 %
 Phone-----50 %

Conclusions

- With a robust information campaign focused on the need for basic repairs and maintenance to parks, and improvements to water access and quality, **Lane County is well-positioned to proceed with a local option levy of 16 cents per \$100,000 assessed value.**
- In principle, voters are broadly willing to pay \$30 per year for these purposes.
- Three in five say the Parks Division has at least “some need” for funding – and the same share says they would vote “yes” on a levy given measure language alone. That level of support increases after voters hear about potential projects, accountability provisions and positive messaging – and stays high after a brief set of critiques.
- While the overall mood is increasingly pessimistic, driven by concern about homelessness, inflation and the cost of housing, voters have broadly favorable views of Lane County Parks and approve of their work.
- Top priorities for projects are improved water quality and water access, basic park maintenance, protecting wildlife habitat, and restoring wildfire-damaged parks.
- The most compelling support messages have to do with leaving a legacy for future generations, the contribution parks make to public health, and the importance of affordable outdoor recreation given a rising cost of living. On the other side of the coin, concern about the cost of living and general mistrust of government produces the most reservations about a measure.

CAPITAL PROJECTS PRIORITIZATION

Lane County Parks Boat Ramp Inventory

Zone	Park	Body of Water	Improved		Unimproved		Restroom	Parking		Condition	Importance
			Ramp	Slide	Ramp	Dock		Lot	Notes		
Coastal	Ada	Siltcoos Lake	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Explore Non-M	Poor	High
Coastal	Westlake	Siltcoos Lake	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Fair	Med
Coastal	Bender Landing	N Fork Siuslaw	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes		Fair	Med
Coastal	Munsel Lake Landing	Munsel Lake	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Fair	Med
Coastal	Mercer Lake Landing	Mercer Lake	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes		Good	Med
Coastal	Tiernan Boat Ramp	Siuslaw River	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Steep, needs m	Good	Low/Med
Coastal	Mapleton Landing	Siuslaw River	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Sediment issue: Ramp in great	Med	Med
Coastal	Farnham Landing	Siuslaw River	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Replace slide w	Poor	Med/High
Coastal	Tide Wayside	Siuslaw River	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Plank Type I, ne	Poor	High
Coastal	Schinlder Landing	Siuslaw River	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Poured concret	Poor	Med
Coastal	Deadwood Landing	Siuslaw River	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes		Fair	Low/Med
Coastal	Austa	Siuslaw River	No	No	Yes	No	Yes Portable		Gravel, steep, improvement cl		High
Coastal	Linslaw Park	Siuslaw River	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		Fair	Med
Coastal	Triangle Lake	Triangle Lake	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Explore acquiring adjacent prc		High
Metro	Richardson Park	Fern Ridge Reservoir	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Highest Priority; Launch and C		High
Metro	Orchard Point Park	Fern Ridge Reservoir	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Toe too short f	Ramp okay, dc	High
Metro	Perkins Peninsula	Fern Ridge Reservoir	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	AC, ideal for no	Poor	High for non-m
Metro	Whitely Park	Willamette River	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Gravel	Fair	Low, non-m
Metro	Armitage Park	McKenzie River	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Conc planks	Fair	Low
Metro	Deadmond's Ferry Landing	McKenzie River	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Gravel slide	Fair	None
Metro	Rodakowski Landing	McKenzie River	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Verify ownersh	Poor	High
Metro	Hayden Bridge	McKenzie River	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	EWEB owned, v	Poor	Low
Metro	Bellinger Landing	McKenzie River	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Conc planks	Fair	Med
McKenzie	Hendricks Bridge	McKenzie River	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	New	New	N/A
McKenzie	Deerhorn Landing	McKenzie River	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Road/approach	Good	High
McKenzie	Leaburg Landing	McKenzie River	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Gravel road to	N/A	High
McKenzie	Greenwood Landing	McKenzie River	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Silt issues	Good	High
McKenzie	Leaburg Dam Boatslide	McKenzie River	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	EWEB owned	Fair	Low
McKenzie	Goodpasture Boat Landing	McKenzie River	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	EWEB owned	Fair	Low
McKenzie	Helfrich Landing	McKenzie River	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	One concrete, c	Conc is fair, gr	High
McKenzie	Forest Glen Landing	McKenzie River	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	One conc, two	Poor, usable	High
McKenzie	Hamlin Park	McKenzie River	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Slide, ODFW owned		Low
South	Baker Bay	Dorena Reservoir	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	AC; ADA Assess Potholes, poo		High

Levy Deferred Maintenance Prioritization Summary

High and Medium Priority Projects

<u>Project Area</u>	<u>Hardcost</u>	<u>Markup</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Per Year</u>
Restrooms	2,445,332.76	1,100,399.74	3,545,732.51	709146.5
Day use, Shelters, & Pavilions	786,254.13	353,814.36	1,140,068.49	228013.7
Paving & Curbs	4,679,099.83	2,105,594.92	6,784,694.75	1356939
Site Utilities	861,861.67	387,837.75	1,249,699.41	249939.9
Other features & improvements	871,271.66	392,072.25	1,263,343.91	252668.8
Waterway Access	699,674.89	314,853.70	1,014,528.59	Includes \$1 202905.7
	10,343,494.93	4,654,572.72	14,998,067.65	2999614
	10,343,494.93			
	0.00			

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT MEETINGS



LANE COUNTY PARKS

Designing the Future
Together

Existing Features in Targeted Parks

Armitage Park



Campgrounds



Boat Ramp



Shelters



Picnic tables & BBQs



Dog Park



Interpretive and Educational Display

Howard Buford Recreation Area



Hiking



Interpretive and Educational Display



Festivals and Events



Equestrian Trails

Existing Projects in Targeted Parks

Orchard Point Marina



Playgrounds



Marina & Boat Ramp



Shelters



Volleyball nets



Picnic tables & BBQs

Perkins Peninsula



Playgrounds



Marina & Boat Ramp



Baseball



Volleyball nets



Picnic tables & BBQs

Existing Projects in Targeted Parks

Richardson



Campgrounds



Marina & Boat Ramp



Shelters



Picnic tables & BBQs

Zumwalt



Hiking Trails



Interpretive and Educational display



Festivals and Events



Picnic tables & BBQs

Existing Features in Targeted Parks

Harbor Vista Campground



Campgrounds



Playgrounds



Picnic tables & BBQs



Interpretive and Educational Display

North Jetty



World of Possibility

Existing Features in Targeted Parks

Forest Glen Landing

Greenwood Landing

Hayden Bridge



Boat Ramp



Restrooms

Helfrich Landing



Boat Ramp



Interpretive and Educational Display



Restrooms

Existing Features in Targeted Parks

Baker Bay Park



Campgrounds



Marina and Boat Ramp



Restrooms/Showers (ADA)



Picnic tables & BBQs



Trail



Playground



Swimming



Day use area

Existing Features in Targeted Parks

Dorena Covered Bridge – Wayside



Parking



Restrooms (non-ADA)

Parking ONLY

Currin and Stewart Covered Bridges

LaSelle

Blue Mountain

Wildwood Falls*



Picnic Area



Portable Restroom (non-ADA)



Swimming *

Big River, Bohemia Saddle, Vaughn – no services

Activity

What enhancements would improve accessibility?

Which recreational features are most desirable?

What educational elements will enhance the visitor experience?

Summary of Community Outreach Meetings

Lane County Parks is exploring the palatability of a local option levy for approval by the County Board of Commissioners for consideration on the November 2022 ballot. To better understand contemporary local recreation trends, interphase with natural areas and how Lane County Parks could improve the park user experience, four regional meetings were organized during the month of May 2022. These gatherings were held regionally in four key areas of the County to ensure opportunity for equitable public participation and were attended by nearly 120 people over the course of two weeks.

The Eugene/Springfield meeting attracted the highest attendance and represented more than half the total turnout county wide. The remaining meetings: Florence/Coastal, McKenzie River Corridor, and Cottage Grove/South County were attended by 16, 13 and 20 participants respectively. Participants shared key motivations for attending the parks meetings which included: learning more about the future of Lane County Parks, advocating for a wide variety of recreational amenities, reinforcing the importance of natural areas conservation and restoration, offering ideas to improve accessibility throughout the park system, and emphasizing the importance of honoring and educating park visitors about the cultural value and history of public lands.

After listening to a brief presentation highlighting the Lane County Parks Master Plan, Funding Task Force recommendations, and an overview of the budget history and constraints, participants were asked to share feedback on three key questions.

1. What enhancements would improve accessibility?
2. Which recreational features are most desirable?
3. What educational elements will enhance the visitor experience?

ACCESSIBILITY

- Adding ADA compliant restroom facilities
- Upgrading existing restrooms to current ADA standards
- Adding ADA compliant recreational infrastructure such as:
 - River access for non-motorized boats
 - Wheelchair accessible nature and beach trails
 - Access to “big-wheel” beach wheelchairs
 - All-inclusive fishing and viewing platforms, play structures, and nature exploration areas
- Install potable water refill stations

RECREATION

- Partnership on Regional Pickle ball facilities
- Interconnected regional hiking, biking, and water trails
- River trails in the McKenzie River region
- Watercraft rentals at Baker Bay
- Non-motorized watercraft access
- Additional camping and RV sites
- Off leash pet areas both enclosed and open trails

- Promote activities that attract tourism and help generate revenue: fishing, birding, festivals and events

EDUCATION

- Memorializing land history of native peoples and donations of land to the County
- Birding information
- Outdoor school
- Partner with programs that engaging youth

CONSERVATION

- EV-Charging Stations
- Invasive species removal
- Bank erosion mitigation at Fern Ridge & Zumwalt
- Preservation of wild spaces and nature trails
- Adding native vegetation at Baker Bay

OTHER IMPROVEMENTS

- Improve overall safety and cleanliness
- Improved signage and maintenance / repair of existing signs
- Strong support to maintain existing facilities and bring them back to life

OTHER FEEDBACK

Strong support was expressed for other items proposed in the Levy package including: deferred maintenance, operations and safety, volunteerism, & public/private partnerships.

**STAFF
RECOMMENDATION:
5-YEAR LOCAL OPTON
LEVY**

Parks Levy Proposed Budget - Combined Existing & Enhanced

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total	
Revenue							
Current Division Revenues	\$3,400,000	\$3,502,000	\$3,607,060	\$3,715,272	\$3,826,730	\$18,051,062	Includes 3% growth;Fees/Charges/Revenue Sharing/Contracts/etc Continues
Current CRT/TRT Allocation	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$5,000,000	
Local Option Levy	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$30,000,000	
Other County Tax Sources	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$2,500,000	TRT/CRT/Video Lottery/Other/GF OSMB/St. Pks/PVT
Grants & Road Funds	\$400,000	\$420,000	\$450,000	\$475,000	\$500,000	\$2,245,000	
Total Revenue	\$11,300,000	\$11,422,000	\$11,557,060	\$11,690,272	\$11,826,730	\$57,796,062	
Operations							
Existing Personal Costs	\$1,995,517	\$2,065,360	\$2,137,648	\$2,212,465	\$2,289,902	\$10,700,892	Rounded Appropriation Budgeted 2022
New Personnel	\$1,282,346	\$1,248,957	\$1,248,957	\$1,248,957	\$1,248,957	\$6,278,174	Wages plus burden
Existing Material & Services	\$2,076,867	\$2,139,173	\$2,203,348	\$2,269,449	\$2,337,532	\$11,026,369	Rounded Appropriation Budgeted 2022
Increased Materials & Services	\$1,151,043	\$1,151,946	\$1,151,946	\$1,151,946	\$1,151,946	\$5,758,827	
Total Operations	\$6,505,773	\$6,605,436	\$6,741,899	\$6,882,817	\$7,028,337	\$33,764,262	
Deferred Maintenance Projects							
Restrooms	\$709,147	\$709,147	\$709,147	\$709,147	\$709,147	\$3,545,733	
Day use, Shelters, & Pavilions	\$228,014	\$228,014	\$228,014	\$228,014	\$228,014	\$1,140,069	
Paving & Curbs	\$1,356,939	\$1,356,939	\$1,356,939	\$1,356,939	\$1,356,939	\$6,784,695	Road Funds to Assist
Site Utilities	\$249,940	\$249,940	\$249,940	\$249,940	\$249,940	\$1,249,699	
Other features & improvements	\$252,669	\$252,669	\$252,669	\$252,669	\$252,669	\$1,263,344	
Waterway Access	\$202,906	\$202,906	\$202,906	\$202,906	\$202,906	\$1,014,529	Includes \$100k/yr for OSMB grant match
Total Deferred Maint Projects	\$2,999,614	\$2,999,614	\$2,999,614	\$2,999,614	\$2,999,614	\$14,998,068	
Special Projects							
Projects TBD	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$5,000,000	Reduce if no additional tax sources available
Conservation/Education	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$3,500,000	
Total Special Projects	\$1,700,000	\$1,700,000	\$1,700,000	\$1,700,000	\$1,700,000	\$8,500,000	
Total Expenditures	\$11,205,387	\$11,305,050	\$11,441,512	\$11,582,431	\$11,727,950	\$57,262,329	
BALANCE	\$94,613	\$116,950	\$115,548	\$107,841	\$98,780	\$533,732	

Subsidy Allocation By Program 1st Yr - Example			
Operations & Maintenance	\$6,505,773		
less Division Revenue	\$3,400,000		
	\$3,105,773	Levy Funds	
Deferred Mainteance	\$3,000,000		
less Grants and Road Funds	\$400,000		
	\$2,600,000	Levy Funds	
Conservation & Education	\$700,000		
less CRT & TRT	\$450,000		
	\$250,000	Levy Funds	
Special Projects	\$1,000,000		
less CRT & TRT	\$550,000		
less other County Sources	\$450,000	Video lottery/TRT/CRT/GF/ARPA	
	\$0	Levy Funds	

Lane County Parks Operations Report for May 2022

The purpose of this report is to provide a summary of operational highlights for the month.



Coast Zone

- Mowing
- Minor storm cleanup.
- Routine maintenance runs.
- Staff participated in baton and pepper spray training.

Valley

- Major storm cleanup at Armitage.
- Mowing.
- Leaf removal at Orchard Point and Armitage.
- Routine maintenance runs.
- Staff participated in baton and pepper spray training.
- Weekly code enforcement at Armitage Richardson and Baker Bay campground and day-use areas.
- Readied floating restroom at Fern Ridge.